Case 5:08-cv-04312-JW Document 248 Filed 09/10/10 Page 1 of 3

1	ROBERT P. VARIAN (STATE BAR NO. 10'	7459)	
2	rvarian@orrick.com JAMES N. KRAMER (STATE BAR NO. 154709)		
3	jkramer@orrick.com JUSTIN M. LICHTERMAN (STATE BAR NO. 225734) jlichterman@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP		
4			
5	The Orrick Building 405 Howard Street		
6	San Francisco, CA 94105-2669		
7	Telephone: +1-415-773-5700 Facsimile: +1-415-773-5759		
8	Attorneys for Defendant NVIDIA CORPORATION		
9	LINITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11			
12	SANJO	SE DIVISION	
13		Case No. C 08-04312 JW	
14	The NIVIDIA CDITL Higgstian		
15	The NVIDIA GPU Litigation	STIPULATED JOINT REQUEST FOR ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO	
16		FILE REVISED PROPOSED ORDER FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL	
17	This Document Relates To:	[SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULE 6-2]	
18	ALL ACTIONS		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	OHS West:260986020.1	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER EXTENDING TIME C 08-04312 JV	

Case 5:08-cv-04312-JW Document 248 Filed 09/10/10 Page 2 of 3

1	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiffs and NVIDIA, through their counsel of recor	
2	and Interim Lead Class Counsel for Plaintiffs, hereby jointly seek an order extending time to file	
3	the Revised Proposed Order for Preliminary Approval until September 14, 2010. Filed currently	
4	herewith is the Declaration of Robert P. Varian, which complies with the requirements of Civi	
5	Local Rule 6-2.	
6	On September 2, 2010 the Court issued an Order ("Order") [Docket No. 244] requesting	
7	that the parties file a Revised Proposed Order for Preliminary Approval on or before September 9,	
8	2010.	
9	The requested extension of the deadline to file the Revised Proposed Order is necessary	
10	because:	
11	1. The parties are in the process of seeking further guidance from the Court regarding	
12	the September 2, 2010 Order;	
13	2. Interim Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs is on vacation;	
14	3. Key attorneys who need to be consulted in connection with the submission of the	
15	Revised Proposed Order are currently out of the office due to religious holidays.	
16	Accordingly, Plaintiffs and NVIDIA, though their counsel of record and Interim Lead	
17	Class Counsel for Plaintiffs, HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE, and jointly request the Court	
18	to enter an Order providing;	
19	1. The Revised Proposed Order for Preliminary Approval shall be due on or before	
20	September 14, 2010.	
21	Dated: September 9, 2010 ROBERT P. VARIAN	
22	JAMES N. KRAMER JUSTIN M. LICHTERMAN	
23	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	
24		
25	/s/ Robert P. Varian ROBERT P. VARIAN	
26	Attorneys for Defendant NVIDIA CORPORATION	
27	INVIDIA CORPORATION	
28		

Case 5:08-cv-04312-JW Document 248 Filed 09/10/10 Page 3 of 3

1	Dated: September 9, 2010 JEFF S. WESTERMAN SABRINA S. KIM	
2	NICOLE M. DUCKETT Milberg LLP	
3	willoeig LLF	
4	/s/ Jeff S. Westerman	
5	JEFF S. WESTERMAN Interim Lead Class Counsel for	
6	Plaintiffs and All Others Similarly Situated	
7		
8	The filer attests that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from	
9	each of the other signatories, or from the single signatory which shall serve in lieu of their	
10	signature(s) on the document.	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
12		
13	DATE: September 10, 2010 THE HONORABLE JAMES WARE	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	- 2 - STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER EXTENDING	

STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER EXTENDING TIME C 08-04312 JW